arXiv:1007.5224v1 [math.FA] 29 Jul 2010

Cosine of angle and center of mass of an operator

Kallol Paul and Gopal Das

Abstract

We consider the notion of real center of mass and total center of mass of a bounded linear operator relative to another bounded linear operator and explore their relation with cosine and total cosine of a bounded linear operator acting on a complex Hilbert space. We give another proof of the Min-max equality and then generalize it using the notion of orthogonality of bounded linear operators. We also illustrate with examples an alternative method of calculating the antieigenvalues and total antieigenvalues for finite dimensional operators.

2000 Mathematics subject classification: 47B44, 47A63.
Keywords and Phrases: Antieigenvalues, accretive operator, orthogonality of bounded linear operators.

1 Introduction:

Suppose T is a bounded linear operator on a complex Hilbert space H with inner product (,) and norm $\| \|$. A bounded linear operator T is called strongly accretive if $Re\langle Tx, x\rangle \geq m_T > 0$ for all unit vectors x in H. For a strongly accretive, bounded operator T on a Hilbert space

$$\sup_{\|x\|=1} \inf_{\epsilon>0} \|(\epsilon T - I)x\|^2 = \inf_{\epsilon>0} \sup_{\|x\|=1} \|(\epsilon T - I)x\|^2 .$$

This is the Min-max equality in operator trigonometry and was obtained by Gustafson[5, 9] in 1968, Asplund and Pt$\acute{a}$k[1] in 1971.
The angle of an operator was introduced in 1968 by Gustafson[6] while studying the problems in the perturbation theory of semi-group generators in [7, 8]. The cosine of the angle of T was defined by Gustafson as follows:

$$cos\phi(T) \quad = \quad \inf_{\|Tf\|\neq 0} \frac{Re(Tf, f)}{\|Tf\| \, \|f\|}. \tag{1}$$

The properties of $\cos\phi\,(T)$ are dependent on the real part of numerical range $W(T)$ of T.
The quantity $\cos\phi\,(T)$ has another interpretation as the first antieigenvalue of T,

$$\mu_1\,(T) \quad = \quad \inf_{\|Tf\|\neq 0} \frac{Re(Tf, f)}{\|Tf\| \, \|f\|}. \tag{2}$$

This concept was also introduced by Gustafson[9] and studied by Gustafson[10, 11, 12], Seddighin[20], Gustafson and Seddighin[16] and Gustafson and Rao[14, 15]. In [2] we studied the structure of of antieigenvectors of a strictly accretive operator and in [17] we studied total antieigenvalues of a bounded normal operator. In [18] we introduced the notion of symmetric antieigenvalues. The notion of the cosine of angle of an operator has a connection with the min-max equality according to Gustafson's theory[[5] - [12]] as

$$sinT = \sqrt{1 - cos^2T} = \min_{\epsilon>0} \|\epsilon T - I\| .$$

While studying the norm of the inner derivation Stampfli[21] proved that for any bounded linear operator T there exists a complex scalar z_0 such that $\|T - z_0 I\| \leq \|T - zI\|$ for all complex scalar z. He defined z_0

as the center of mass (or center) of T . In the Banach space B(H,H) for any two operators T and A , T is orthogonal to A in the sense of James[4] iff $\|T + \lambda A\| \geq \|T\|$ for all scalars λ. Thus if z_0 is center of mass of an operator T, then $T - z_0 I$ is orthogonal to I. We studied in [19] the the notion of orthogonality of two bounded linear operators T and A in B(H,H) and proved that T is orthogonal to A iff $\exists \, \{x_n\}, \|x_n\| = 1$ such that $(A^*Tx_n, x_n) \to 0$ and $\|Tx_n\| \to \|T\|$.We introduce the notion of real center of mass and total center of mass of an operator relative to another operator and explore their relation with cosine and total cosine of an operator. We also give an easy proof of the Min-max equality.

2 Center of mass of an operator :

Before describing the definition of center of mass of an operator we first prove the following two results:

Lemma 2.1

For any $T,\ A\ \in\ B(H)$ if $|\epsilon| > 2\frac{\|T\|}{\|A\|},\ \|A\| \neq 0$, then $\|T\| < \|T - \epsilon A\|$.

Proof.

$$T,\ A\ \in\ B(H), |\epsilon| > 2\frac{\|T\|}{\|A\|} \Rightarrow \| T\ -\ \epsilon A \| \geq | \epsilon | \ \| A \|\ -\ \| T \| > 2\frac{\|T\|}{\|A\|} \ \| A \| - \| T \| = \| T \| \ .$$

Hence the proof.

Lemma 2.2

Let T and A be two bounded linear operators on a complex Hilbert space H. Then there exists a real scalar ϵ_0 such that

$$\|T - \epsilon_0 A\| \leq \|T - \epsilon A\| \qquad \forall \epsilon \in R.$$

Proof. We consider the function $f:\ G\ \to\ R$ defined by

$$f(\epsilon) = \|T - \epsilon A\| \ \forall \epsilon \in G$$

where R is the set of real numbers and $G \subseteq R$ is a closed interval centered at origin with radius $2\frac{\|T\|}{\|A\|}$. Then it is clear that f is continuous, bounded and G is compact. So f attains its infimum. Thus there exists a $\epsilon_0 \in G$ such that $f(\epsilon) \geq f(\epsilon_0)\ \ \forall \epsilon \in G \subseteq R$ which implies $\|T - \epsilon_0 A\| \leq \|T - \epsilon A\|\ \ \forall \epsilon \in G$.

If $\epsilon \notin G$ then $|\epsilon| > 2\frac{\|T\|}{\|A\|}$ and so $\|T - \epsilon A\| \ > \| \ T \ \|$ by the above lemma. Also $f(0) \geq f(\epsilon_0)$ i.e., $\| \ T \ \| \geq \ \|T - \epsilon_0 A\|$. Hence $\|T - \epsilon_0 A\| \leq \|T - \epsilon A\|$ if $\epsilon \notin G$.

Thus $\|T - \epsilon_0 A\| \leq \|T - \epsilon A\|$ for all $\epsilon\ \in R$. Hence the proof.

Thus for any two bounded linear operators T and A there exists a real scalar ϵ_0 such that

$$\|T - \epsilon_0 A\| \leq \|T - \epsilon A\| \ \ \forall \ \epsilon \ \in R.$$

We define ϵ_0 as the **real center of mass** of operator T relative to the operator A.

Likewise one can show that for any two bounded linear operators T and A there exists a complex scalar λ_0 such that

$$\|T - \lambda_0 A\| \leq \|T - \lambda A\| \ \ \forall \ \lambda \ \in C.$$

We define λ_0 as the **total center of mass** of operator T relative to the operator A. The real center of mass and total center of mass of operator T relative to the operator A is not always uniquely defined. For A = I, λ_0 is the center of mass of T introduced by Stampfli in [21].

3 Orthogonality of two operators T and A in B(H,H) :

In the Banach space B(H,H) for any two operators T and A , T is orthogonal to A in the sense of James[4] iff $\|T + \lambda A\| \geq \|T\|$ for all scalars λ in C. We say that T is real orthogonal to A iff $\|T + \epsilon A\| \geq \|T\|$ for all scalars ϵ in R. Thus if ϵ_0 and λ_0 are real center of mass and total center of mass of T relative to A respectively then $T - \epsilon_0 A$ is real orthogonal to A and $T - \lambda_0 A$ is orthogonal to A respectively. We next prove two theorems which is going to characterize the real center of mass.

Theorem 3.1

The set $W_0(A) = \{\epsilon \in R \ : \ \exists \ \{x_n\} \ \subset H, \ \|x_n\| = 1, \ \|Tx_n\| \to \|T\|$ and $Re(Tx_n, Ax_n) \to \epsilon \ \}$ is non-empty, closed and convex.

Proof. Clearly $W_0(A)$ is a non-empty subset.

We now show that $W_0(A)$ is closed. Let $\epsilon_n \in W_0(A)$ and $\epsilon_n \ \to \ \epsilon$. As $\epsilon_n \in W_0(A)$ so there exists $\{x_k^n\}_{k=1}^{\infty}, \| \ x_k^n \ \| = \ 1$ for all k and n such that for each $n \ = \ 1, 2, 3, ...$

$$Re(A^*Tx_k^n, x_k^n) \ \longrightarrow \ \epsilon_n \ as \ k \ \longrightarrow \ \infty$$

and

$$\|Tx_k^n\| \ \longrightarrow \ \|T\| \ \ as \ k \ \longrightarrow \ \infty.$$

Now for each n there exists k_n such that

$| \ Re(A^*Tx_k^n, x_k^n) \ - \ \epsilon_n \ | < \ \frac{1}{n}$ and $| \ \|Tx_k^n\| \ - \ \|T\| \ | < \ \frac{1}{n}$ for all $k \ \geq \ k_n$. So we get

$| \ Re(A^*Tx_{k_n}^n, x_{k_n}^n) \ - \ \epsilon \ | \leq | \ Re(A^*Tx_{k_n}^n, x_{k_n}^n) \ - \ \epsilon_n \ | \ + \ | \ \epsilon_n - \epsilon \ | \longrightarrow \ 0$ as $n \ \longrightarrow \ \infty$.

So $Re(A^*Tx_{k_n}^n, x_{k_n}^n) \ \longrightarrow \ \epsilon$ as $n \ \longrightarrow \ \infty$.

Similarly $\|Tx_{k_n}^n\| \ \longrightarrow \ \|T\|$ as $n \ \longrightarrow \ \infty$. Hence $\epsilon \in W_0(A)$. Thus $W_0(A)$ is closed.

Following an idea of Das et al[3] we next show that $W_0(A)$ is convex. Let μ and $\eta \in W_0(A)$, $\mu \neq \eta$ and t be any real scalar $0 < t < 1$. Then there exist $\{x_n\}$ and $\{y_n\}$ in H with $\|x_n\| = 1, \|y_n\| = 1$ for all n , such that

$$Re(A^*Tx_n, x_n) \to \mu \ and \ \|Tx_n\| \to \|T\|,$$

$$Re(A^*Ty_n, y_n) \to \eta \ and \ \|Ty_n\| \to \|T\|.$$

For any real scalar ϵ and for any subsequence $\{x_{n_k}\}, \ \{y_{n_k}\}$ of sequences $\{x_n\}, \ \{y_n\}$ respectively. Suppose

$$\lim_{k \longrightarrow \infty} \| \ x_{n_k} \ \pm \ \epsilon y_{n_k} \ \| = \ 0$$

$\Rightarrow \ \lim_{k \longrightarrow \infty} \{\| \ x_{n_k} \ \|^2 \ + \epsilon^2 \ \| \ y_{n_k} \ \|^2 \ \pm 2\epsilon Re(x_{n_k}, y_{n_k})\} \ = \ 0.$

$\Rightarrow \ \lim_{k \longrightarrow \infty} Re(x_{n_k}, y_{n_k}) \ = \ \mp \ \frac{1+\epsilon^2}{2\epsilon}$

$\Rightarrow \ \epsilon^2 \ = \ 1$, otherwise $| \ \frac{1+\epsilon^2}{2\epsilon} \ | > 1$ which contradicts the fact that $| \ Re(x_{n_k}, y_{n_k}) \ | \leq 1$.

Let $\delta_{n_k} \ = \ x_{n_k} \ \pm \ \epsilon y_{n_k}$. Then $\delta_{n_k} \ \longrightarrow \ 0$ as $k \ \longrightarrow \ \infty$.

Now

$$\begin{aligned} \mu \ &= \ \lim_{k \longrightarrow \infty} Re(A^*Tx_{n_k}, x_{n_k}) \\ &= \ \lim_{k \longrightarrow \infty} Re(A^*T(\delta_{n_k} \ \mp \ \epsilon y_{n_k}), \delta_{n_k} \ \mp \ \epsilon y_{n_k}) \\ &= \ \epsilon^2 \lim_{k \longrightarrow \infty} Re(A^*Ty_{n_k}, y_{n_k}) \\ &= \ \epsilon^2 \eta \\ &= \ \eta. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we can assume that for any real scalar ϵ and for any subsequence $\{x_{n_k}\}, \ \{y_{n_k}\}$ of sequences $\{x_n\}, \ \{y_n\}$ respectively

$$\lim_{k \longrightarrow \infty} \| \ x_{n_k} \ \pm \ \epsilon y_{n_k} \ \| \neq \ 0.$$

Now, $\{Re(A^*T(x_n + \epsilon y_n), x_n + \epsilon y_n)\}$ is a bounded sequence and so it has a convergent subsequence, say, $\{Re(A^*T(x_{n_k} + \epsilon y_{n_k}), x_{n_k} + \epsilon y_{n_k})\}$. Also $\{\| \ x_{n_k} \ + \ \epsilon y_{n_k} \ \|\}$, being a bounded sequence, has a convergent subsequence, say $\{\| \ x'_{n_k} \ + \ \epsilon y'_{n_k} \ \|\}$. We show that there exists a real scalar ϵ for which

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} \frac{Re(A^*T(x'_{n_k} + \epsilon y'_{n_k}), x'_{n_k} + \epsilon y'_{n_k})}{\|x'_{n_k} + \epsilon y'_{n_k}\|^2} = t\mu + (1-t)\eta$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \lim_{k \to \infty} [Re(A^*Tx'_{n_k}, x'_{n_k}) \ + \ \epsilon^2 Re(A^*Ty'_{n_k}, y'_{n_k}) \ + \ \epsilon\{Re(A^*Tx'_{n_k}, y'_{n_k}) + Re(A^*Ty'_{n_k}, x'_{n_k})\}$$

$$- \ \{t\mu + (1-t)\eta\}\|x'_{n_k} + \epsilon y'_{n_k}\|^2] \ = \ 0$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \mu \ + \ \epsilon^2 \eta \ + \ \epsilon \lim_{k \to \infty} \{Re(A^*Tx'_{n_k}, y'_{n_k}) + Re(A^*Ty'_{n_k}, x'_{n_k})\}$$

$$= \ \{t\mu + (1-t)\eta\}\{1 + \epsilon^2 + \ 2 \lim_{k \to \infty} Re\epsilon(x'_{n_k}, y'_{n_k})\}$$

This on simplification yields

$$\epsilon^2 \ + \ C\epsilon \ - \ \frac{1-t}{t} \ = \ 0, \qquad (3)$$

where C is a real constant independent of ϵ.
Equation(3) has two nonzero roots of different signs, say r_1 and $-r_2$ ($r_1, \ r_2 > 0$).
Now $\{\| \ T(x^{'}_{n_k} \ \pm \ ry^{'}_{n_k}) \ \|\}$ is a bounded sequence and so it has a convergent subsequence, say, $\{\| \ T(\tilde{x}_{n_k} \ \pm \ r\tilde{y}_{n_k}) \ \|\}$ where r is any positive real number.
So,

$$\begin{aligned} \|T\|^2 &\geq \lim_{k\to\infty} \tfrac{\|T(\tilde{x}_{n_k} \ \pm \ r\tilde{y}_{n_k})\|^2}{\|\tilde{x}_{n_k} \ \pm \ r\tilde{y}_{n_k}\|^2} \\ &= \| \ T \ \|^2 \ \pm 2r \ \lim_{k\to\infty} \ \tfrac{Re(T^*T\tilde{x}_{n_k} \ - \ \|T\|^2\tilde{x}_{n_k}, \tilde{y}_{n_k})}{\|\tilde{x}_{n_k} \ \pm \ r\tilde{y}_{n_k}\|^2}. \end{aligned}$$

The sign of the second term on the R.H.S. is independent of r and so for all positive real r, either

$$\| \ T(\frac{\tilde{x}_{n_k} \ + \ r\tilde{y}_{n_k}}{\| \ \tilde{x}_{n_k} \ + \ r\tilde{y}_{n_k} \ \|}) \ \| \longrightarrow \| \ T \ \| \ \ as \ k \ \longrightarrow \ \infty$$

or

$$\| \ T(\frac{\tilde{x}_{n_k} \ - \ r\tilde{y}_{n_k}}{\| \ \tilde{x}_{n_k} \ - \ r\tilde{y}_{n_k} \ \|}) \ \| \longrightarrow \| \ T \ \| \ \ as \ k \ \longrightarrow \ \infty.$$

Let

$$z_{n_k} \ = \ \frac{\tilde{x}_{n_k} \ + \ r\tilde{y}_{n_k}}{\| \ \tilde{x}_{n_k} \ + \ r\tilde{y}_{n_k} \ \|}$$

and

$$w_{n_k} \ = \ \frac{\tilde{x}_{n_k} \ - \ r\tilde{y}_{n_k}}{\| \ \tilde{x}_{n_k} \ - \ r\tilde{y}_{n_k} \ \|}.$$

From above we see that either $\|Tz_{n_k}\| \ \longrightarrow \ \|T\|$ and $Re(A^*Tz_{n_k}, z_{n_k}) \ \longrightarrow \ t\mu + (1-t)\eta \ as \ k \ \longrightarrow \ \infty$, or $\|Tw_{n_k}\| \ \longrightarrow \ \|T\|$ and $Re(A^*Tw_{n_k}, w_{n_k}) \ \longrightarrow \ t\mu + (1-t)\eta \ as \ k \ \longrightarrow \ \infty$.
Hence $t\mu + (1-t)\eta \ \in \ W_0(A)$. Thus $W_0(A)$ is convex.
This completes the proof of the theorem.
We now prove the following Theorem :

Theorem 3.2
$\|T\| \leq \|T - \epsilon A\| \ \forall \epsilon \in$ R iff $\exists \ \{x_n\}, \|x_n\| = 1$ such that $Re(A^*Tx_n, x_n) \to 0$ and $\|Tx_n\| \to \|T\|$.

Proof. Suppose $\exists \ \{x_n\}, \|x_n\| = 1$ such that $(A^*Tx_n, x_n) \to 0$ and $\|Tx_n\| \to \|T\|$.
Then $\|(T - \epsilon A)x_n\|^2 = \|Tx_n\|^2 + \epsilon^2\|Ax_n\|^2$ - 2Re $\epsilon(Tx_n, Ax_n), \forall \epsilon \in$ R. So $\|T - \epsilon A\|^2 \geq \limsup_{n\to\infty} \|(T - \epsilon A)x_n\|^2 \geq \|T\|^2 \ \ \forall \epsilon \in$ R. Hence $\|T - \epsilon A\| \geq \|T\| \ \ \forall \epsilon \in$ R.
Conversely let $\|T\| \leq \|T - \epsilon A\| \ \forall \epsilon \in$ R. We need to show $0 \in W_0(A)$.
Without loss of generality we can assume that $\|A\| = 1$.
Suppose $0 \notin W_0(A)$. Then as $W_0(A)$ is closed and convex by rotating T suitably we can assume that $W_0(A) > \eta > 0$.
Let M $= \{x \in H \ : \ \|x\| = 1$ and Re $(Tx, Ax) \leq \eta/2\}$ and $\beta = sup_{x\in M}\|Tx\|$. We first claim that $\beta < \|T\|$. Suppose $\beta = \|T\|$. Then there exists $x_n \in M$ such that $\|Tx_n\| \to \|T\|$. As $x_n \in M$ so $Re(Tx_n, Ax_n) \leq \eta/2$ and $\|x_n\| = 1$. Now $\{Re(Tx_n, Ax_n)\}$ is a bounded sequence and so it has a convergent subsequence, without loss of generality we can assume that $\{Re(Tx_n, Ax_n)\}$ is convergent and converges to some point μ (say). Then $\mu \in W_0(A)$. Now $Re(Tx_n, Ax_n) \leq \eta/2$ and so $\mu \leq \eta/2$. This contradicts the fact that $W_0(A) > \eta$.
Let $\epsilon_0 = \min\{\eta, \frac{\|T\|-\beta}{2\|A\|}\}$.
Let $x \in M$. Then $\|(T - \epsilon_0 A)x\| \leq \|Tx\| + \mid \epsilon_0 \mid \|Ax\| \leq \beta + \{(\|T\| - \beta)/(2\|A\|)\}\|A\| = \|T\|/2 + \beta/2$. So $\sup_{x\in M \ and \ \|x\|=1} \|(T - \epsilon_0 A)x\| \leq (\|T\| + \beta)/2 < \|T\|$.
Again let $x \notin M$. Then let $Tx = (a + ib)Ax + y$, where $(Ax, y) = 0$. Now $2a \geq 2a||Ax||^2 = 2Re(Tx, Ax) > \eta \geq \epsilon_0$ and so $2a - \epsilon_0 > 0$. So

$$\begin{aligned} \|(T - \epsilon_0 A)x\|^2 &= \{(a - \epsilon_0)^2 + b^2\}\|Ax\|^2 + \|y\|^2 \\ &= \|Tx\|^2 + ({\epsilon_0}^2 - 2a\epsilon_0)\|Ax\|^2 \\ &\leq \|Tx\|^2 + ({\epsilon_0}^2 - 2a\epsilon_0) \\ &\leq \|T\|^2 + ({\epsilon_0}^2 - 2a\epsilon_0) \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\sup_{x \notin M \ and \ \|x\|=1} \|(T-\epsilon_0 A)x\|^2 \leq \|T\|^2 + ({\epsilon_0}^2 - 2a\epsilon_0)$. Thus in all cases $\|T-\epsilon_0 A\| < \|T\|$. Hence $\exists \ \{x_n\}, \|x_n\| = 1 \ \forall n, \ (Tx_n, Ax_n) \to 0$ and $\|Tx_n\| \to \|T\|$.

So far we proved that for any two operators T and A in B(H) with $\|A\| \leq 1$, $\|T\| \leq \|T - \epsilon A\| \ \forall \epsilon \in$ R implies that $\exists \ \{x_n\}, \|x_n\| = 1$ such that $Re(A^*Tx_n, x_n) \to 0$ and $\|Tx_n\| \to \|T\|$.

This completes the proof.

From the last theorem it follows that if ϵ_0 is the real center of mass of T relative to A then $\exists \ \{x_n\}, \|x_n\| = 1$ such that $Re((T - \epsilon_0 A)x_n, Ax_n) \to 0$ and $\|(T-\epsilon_0 A)x_n\| \to \|T - \epsilon_0 A\|$.

We next show the uniqueness of ϵ_0 under the assumption that the approximate point spectrum of A, $\sigma_{app}(A)$ does not contain 0. Suppose

$$\|T\| = \|T - \epsilon_0 A\| \leq \|T - \epsilon A\| \ \forall \ \epsilon \ \in R \ \ and \ \ \epsilon_0 \neq 0.$$

Then $\exists \ \{x_n\}, \|x_n\| = 1$ such that $((T-\epsilon_0 A)x_n, Ax_n) \to 0$ and $\|(T-\epsilon_0 A)x_n\| \to \|T - \epsilon_0 A\|$.

So

$$\begin{aligned}
\|T - \epsilon_0 A\|^2 &= \lim\{\|(T-\epsilon_0 A)x_n\|^2\} \\
&= \lim\{\|Tx_n\|^2 + \epsilon_0^2\|Ax_n\|^2 - 2Re(\epsilon_0(Tx_n, Ax_n))\} \\
&= \lim\{\|Tx_n\|^2 - \epsilon_0^2\|Ax_n\|^2\} \\
&= \lim\{\|Tx_n\|^2\} - \mid \epsilon_0 \mid^2 \lim\{\|Ax_n\|^2\} \\
&< \lim\{\|Tx_n\|^2\} \ , \qquad since \ 0 \ \ \notin \ \ \sigma_{app}(A) \\
&\leq \|T\|^2
\end{aligned}$$

This contradicts the fact that $\|T\| = \|T - \epsilon_0 A\|$. Hence $\epsilon_0 = 0$.

We give an example to show that ϵ_0 may not be unique if $0 \ \in \ \sigma_{app}(A)$.

Let T and A be two bounded linear operators defined on R^2 as T (x,y) = (x,0) and A(x,y) = (0,y), $\forall \ (x,y) \in R^2$. Then $\|T\| = \|T - A\| = \|T - (-1)A\| \leq \|T - \epsilon A\| \ \ \forall \ \epsilon \in R.$

We are now in a position to prove

Theorem 3.3

(Min-max equality) For a strongly accretive, bounded operator T on a Hilbert space

$$\sup_{\|x\|=1} \ \inf_{\epsilon>0} \|(\epsilon T - I)x\|^2 = \inf_{\epsilon>0} \ \sup_{\|x\|=1} \|(\epsilon T - I)x\|^2 \ .$$

Proof. For a fixed but arbitrary $y \in H, \ \|y\| = 1$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\|(\epsilon T - I)y\|^2 &\leq \sup_{\|x\|=1} \|(\epsilon T - I)x\|^2 \\
\Rightarrow \inf_{\epsilon>0} \|(\epsilon T - I)y\|^2 &\leq \inf_{\epsilon>0} \ \sup_{\|x\|=1} \|(\epsilon T - I)x\|^2
\end{aligned}$$

This holds for all y in H with $\|y\| = 1$. So

$$\sup_{\|x\|=1} \ \inf_{\epsilon>0} \|(\epsilon T - I)x\|^2 \leq \inf_{\epsilon>0} \ \sup_{\|x\|=1} \|(\epsilon T - I)x\|^2 \ .$$

For the converse part we use the notion of center of mass of an operator. As T is strongly accretive so there exists a real scalar $\epsilon_0 > 0$ such that

$$\|\epsilon_0 T - I\| = \inf_{\epsilon>0} \|(\epsilon T - I)\|.$$

Further there exists a sequence $\{x_n\}, \|x_n\| = 1$ such that $Re((\epsilon_0 T - I)x_n, Tx_n) \to 0$ and $\|(\epsilon_0 T - I)x_n\| \to \|\epsilon_0 T - I\|$.

Now

$$\|\epsilon_0 T - I\|^2 \ = \ \lim_{n\to\infty} \|(\epsilon_0 T - I)x_n\|^2$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&= \lim_{n\to\infty}\{1-2\epsilon_0 Re\langle Tx_n,x_n\rangle+{\epsilon_0}^2\|Tx_n\|^2\}\\
&= \lim_{n\to\infty}\{1-\frac{Re\langle Tx_n,x_n\rangle^2}{\|Tx_n\|^2}\}\\
&\le \sup_{\|x\|=1}\{1-\frac{Re\langle Tx,x\rangle^2}{\|Tx\|^2}\} \qquad (*)
\end{aligned}
$$

For a fixed y in H with $\|y\|=1$ we see that

$$\|(\epsilon T-I)y\|^2=1-2\epsilon Re\langle Ty,y\rangle+\epsilon^2\|Ty\|^2$$

achieves its minimum at $\epsilon(y)=\frac{Re\langle Ty,y\rangle}{\|Ty\|^2}$ and the minimum value is

$$\inf_{\epsilon>0}\|(\epsilon T-I)y\|^2=1-\frac{Re\langle Ty,y\rangle^2}{\|Ty\|^2}.$$

Using this in (*) we get

$$\|\epsilon_0 T-I\|^2\le\sup_{\|x\|=1}\inf_{\epsilon>0}\|(\epsilon T-I)x\|^2$$

and so

$$\inf_{\epsilon>0}\ \sup_{\|x\|=1}\|(\epsilon T-I)x\|^2\le\sup_{\|x\|=1}\ \inf_{\epsilon>0}\|(\epsilon T-I)x\|^2.$$

Thus we have the Min-max equality

$$\sup_{\|x\|=1}\ \inf_{\epsilon>0}\|(\epsilon T-I)x\|^2=\inf_{\epsilon>0}\ \sup_{\|x\|=1}\|(\epsilon T-I)x\|^2\ .$$

Next, if ϵ_0 is the real center of mass of a bounded linear operator T , then there exists a sequence $\{x_n\}$ in H, $\|x_n\|=1$, $Re((I-\epsilon_0 T)x_n,Tx_n)\to 0$ and $\|(I-\epsilon_0 T)x_n\|\to\|I-\epsilon_0 T\|$.
For a bounded linear operator T the antieigenvalue is defined as

$$\cos T=\inf_{\|Tx\|\ne 0}\frac{Re\langle Tx,x\rangle}{\|Tx\|\|x\|}\ .$$

We next prove the theorem
Theorem 3.4
Suppose ϵ_0 is the real center of mass of a strictly accretive, bounded linear operator T. Then $\cos T=\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{Re(Tx_n,x_n)}{\|Tx_n\|}$ where $\{x_n\}$ is a sequence of unit vectors in H, $Re((I-\epsilon_0 T)x_n,Tx_n)\to 0$ and $\|(I-\epsilon_0 T)x_n\|\to\|I-\epsilon_0 T\|$.
Proof. As ϵ_0 is the real center of mass of T so there exists a sequence $\{x_n\},\|x_n\|=1$ such that $Re((I-\epsilon_0 T)x_n,Tx_n)\to 0$ and $\|(I-\epsilon_0 T)x_n\|\to\|I-\epsilon_0 T\|$ and

$$\|I-\epsilon_0 T\|=\inf_{\epsilon>0}\|I-\epsilon T\|=\inf_{\epsilon>0}\ \sup_{\|x\|=1}\|(I-\epsilon T)x\|\ .$$

As in Theorem 3.3 we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|\epsilon_0 T-I\|^2 &= \lim_{n\to\infty}\{1-\frac{Re\langle Tx_n,x_n\rangle^2}{\|Tx_n\|^2}\}\\
&\le \sup_{\|x\|=1}\{1-\frac{Re\langle Tx,x\rangle^2}{\|Tx\|^2}\}\\
&\le \sup_{\|x\|=1}\inf_{\epsilon>0}\|(I-\epsilon T)x\|^2\\
&= \|\epsilon_0 T-I\|^2
\end{aligned}
$$

This shows that

$$\inf_{\|x\|=1} \frac{Re\langle Tx, x\rangle}{\|Tx\|} = \lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{Re\langle Tx_n, x_n\rangle}{\|Tx_n\|} = \cos T.$$

We next give an example to calculate antieigenvalue for a finite dimensional operator using the above method.

Example 3.5

$T = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1+i \end{pmatrix}$ be an operator on a two dimensional complex Hilbert space H. Let, $z = (z_1, z_2)^t \in H$, where $|z_1|^2 + |z_2|^2 = 1$. Then, $Re(Tz, z) = 1$ and $\|Tz\| = \sqrt{1 + |z_2|^2}$. Now, $\sup_{\|z\|=1} \inf_{\epsilon>0} \|(\epsilon T - I)z\| = \sqrt{0.5}$ and this supremum is attained by the vector $z_0 = (z_1, 0)^t$, where $|z_1| = 1$. Then, $\epsilon_0 = 0.5$ and $\|\epsilon_0 T - I\| = \sqrt{0.5}$. Now, $\cos T = \frac{Re(Tz_0, z_0)}{\|Tz_0\|} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$.

In [19] we proved that if λ_0 is the total center of mass of T then there exists a sequence $\{x_n\}, \|x_n\| = 1$ such that $((I - \lambda_0 T)x_n, Tx_n) \to 0$ and $\|(I - \lambda_0 T)x_n\| \to \|I - \lambda_0 T\|$.

For a bounded linear operator T the total antieigenvalue is defined as

$$|\cos|\, T = \inf_{\|Tx\|\neq 0} \frac{|\langle Tx, x\rangle|}{\|Tx\|\|x\|}\ .$$

In [17] we also studied the total antieigenvalue of a bounded linear operator.

We now prove the theorem

Theorem 3.6

For a bounded linear operator T on a Hilbert space

$$\sup_{\|x\|=1} \inf_{\lambda\in C} \|(\lambda T - I)x\|^2 = \inf_{\lambda\in C} \sup_{\|x\|=1} \|(\lambda T - I)x\|^2\ .$$

Proof. For a fixed but arbitrary $y \in H,\ \|y\| = 1$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|(\lambda T - I)y\|^2 &\leq \sup_{\|x\|=1} \|(\lambda T - I)x\|^2 \\ \Rightarrow \inf_{\lambda\in C} \|(\lambda T - I)y\|^2 &\leq \inf_{\lambda\in C} \sup_{\|x\|=1} \|(\lambda T - I)x\|^2 \end{aligned}$$

This holds for all y in H with $\|y\| = 1$. So

$$\sup_{\|x\|=1} \inf_{\lambda\in C} \|(\lambda T - I)x\|^2 \leq \inf_{\lambda\in C} \sup_{\|x\|=1} \|(\lambda T - I)x\|^2\ .$$

For the converse part we use the notion of center of mass of an operator. As T is a bounded linear operator so there exists a scalar λ_0 such that

$$\|\lambda_0 T - I\| = \inf_{\lambda\in C} \|(\lambda T - I)\|.$$

Further there exists a sequence $\{x_n\}, \|x_n\| = 1$ such that $((\lambda_0 T - I)x_n, Tx_n) \to 0$ and $\|(\lambda_0 T - I)x_n\| \to \|\lambda_0 T - I\|$.

Now

$$\begin{aligned} \|\lambda_0 T - I\|^2 &= \lim_{n\to\infty} \|(\lambda_0 T - I)x_n\|^2 \\ &= \lim_{n\to\infty} \{1 - 2Re\lambda_0\langle Tx_n, x_n\rangle + {\lambda_0}^2\|Tx_n\|^2\} \\ &= \lim_{n\to\infty} \{1 - \frac{|\langle Tx_n, x_n\rangle|^2}{\|Tx_n\|^2}\} \\ &\leq \sup_{\|x\|=1} \{1 - \frac{|\langle Tx, x\rangle|^2}{\|Tx\|^2}\} \qquad (*) \end{aligned}$$

For a fixed y in H with $\|y\| = 1$ we see that

$$\|(\lambda T - I)y\|^2 = 1 - 2Re\lambda\langle Ty, y\rangle + \lambda^2\|Ty\|^2$$

$$\Rightarrow \|(\lambda T - I)y\|^2 = \|Ty\|^2\{\mid \lambda - \frac{\langle y, Ty\rangle}{\|Ty\|^2} \mid^2\} + \{1 - \frac{\mid \langle Ty, y\rangle \mid^2}{\|Ty\|^2}\}.$$

achieves its minimum at $\lambda(y) = \frac{\langle y, Ty\rangle}{\|Ty\|^2}$ and the minimum value is

$$\inf_{\lambda \in C} \|(\lambda T - I)y\|^2 = 1 - \frac{\mid \langle Ty, y\rangle \mid^2}{\|Ty\|^2}.$$

Using this in (*) we get

$$\|\lambda_0 T - I\|^2 \leq \sup_{\|x\|=1} \inf_{\lambda \in C} \|(\lambda T - I)x\|^2$$

and so

$$\inf_{\lambda \in C} \sup_{\|x\|=1} \|(\lambda T - I)x\|^2 \leq \sup_{\|x\|=1} \inf_{\lambda \in C} \|(\lambda T - I)x\|^2.$$

Thus we have the equality

$$\sup_{\|x\|=1} \inf_{\lambda \in C} \|(\lambda T - I)x\|^2 = \inf_{\lambda \in C} \sup_{\|x\|=1} \|(\lambda T - I)x\|^2 .$$

Theorem 3.7
Suppose λ_0 is the total center of mass of a bounded linear operator T. Then, $|\cos| T = \lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{|(Tx_n, x_n)|}{\|Tx_n\|}$ where $\{x_n\}$ is a sequence of unit vectors in H, $((I - \lambda_0 T)x_n, Tx_n) \to 0$ and $\|(I - \lambda_0 T)x_n\| \to \|I - \lambda_0 T\|$
Proof. In [19] we proved that if λ_0 is the total center of mass of T then there exists a sequence $\{x_n\}$, $\|x_n\| = 1$ such that $((I - \lambda_0 T)x_n, Tx_n) \to 0$ and $\|(I - \lambda_0 T)x_n\| \to \|I - \lambda_0 T\|$ and

$$\|I - \lambda_0 T\| = \inf_{\lambda \in C} \|I - \lambda T\| = \inf_{\lambda \in C} \sup_{\|x\|=1} \|(I - \lambda T)x\| .$$

As in Theorem 3.6 we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\lambda_0 T - I\|^2 &= \lim_{n\to\infty} \{1 - \frac{\mid \langle Tx_n, x_n\rangle \mid^2}{\|Tx_n\|^2}\} \\ &\leq \sup_{\|x\|=1} \{1 - \frac{\mid \langle Tx, x\rangle \mid^2}{\|Tx\|^2}\} \\ &\leq \sup_{\|x\|=1} \inf_{\lambda \in C} \|(I - \lambda T)x\|^2 \\ &= \|\lambda_0 T - I\|^2 \end{aligned}$$

This shows that

$$\inf_{\|x\|=1} \frac{\mid \langle Tx, x\rangle \mid}{\|Tx\|} = \lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{\mid \langle Tx_n, x_n\rangle \mid}{\|Tx_n\|} = |\cos| T$$

We next give an example to calculate total antieigenvalue for a finite dimensional operator using the above method.

Example 3.8
$T = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1+i \end{pmatrix}$ be an operator on a two dimensional complex Hilbert space H. Let, $z = (z_1, z_2)^t \in H$, where $|z_1|^2 + |z_2|^2 = 1$. Then, $|(Tz, z)| = \sqrt{1 + |z_2|^4}$ and $\|Tz\| = \sqrt{1 + |z_2|^2}$. Now, $\sup_{\|z\|=1} \inf_{\lambda \in C} \|(\lambda T - I)z\| = \sqrt{2} - 1$ and this supremum is attained by the vector $z_0 = (z_1, z_2)^t$, where $|z_2|^2 = \sqrt{2} - 1$. Then, $\lambda_0 = \frac{1 - i(\sqrt{2}-1)}{\sqrt{2}}$ and $\|\lambda_0 T - I\| = \sqrt{2} - 1$. Now, $|\cos| T = \frac{Re(Tz_0, z_0)}{\|Tz_0\|} = \sqrt{2\sqrt{2} - 2}$.

Acknowledgement: We would like to thank Professor T. K. Mukherjee and Professor K. C. Das for their suggestion while preparing the paper. Second author would like to thank CSIR, India for supporting his research.

References

[1] Asplund E. and Pták V., *A Minimax Inequality for Operators and a Related Numerical Range*, Acta. Math, **126** (1971), 53-62.

[2] Das K.C., DasGupta M. and Paul K., *Structure of the antieigenvectors of a strictly accretive operator* International J. Math. and Math. Sci., **21** No. 4, (1998),761-766.

[3] Das K.C., Majumder S. and Sims B., *Restricted numerical range and weak convergence on the boundary of numerical range*, Jour. Math. Phy. Sci., **21** No.1, (1987) , 35-42.

[4] James R.C., *Orthogonality and linear functionals in normed linear spaces*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **61** (1947), 265-292.

[5] Gustafson K., *A Min-Max Theorem*, Notices Amer. Math. Soc. **15** (1968d), 799.

[6] Gustafson K., *Angle of an Operator and Positive Operator Products*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., **74** (1968a), 488-492.

[7] Gustafson K., *Positive(noncommutating) Operator Products and Semigroups*, Math. Zeit. **105** (1968b), 160-172.

[8] Gustafson K., *A note on left multiplication of semigroup generators*, Pacific J. Math. **24** (1968c), 463-465.

[9] Gustafson K., *Antieigenvalue Inequalities in Operator Theory* , Inequalities III, Proceedings Los Angeles Symposium, 1969 ed. O. Shisha, Academic Press (1972), 115-119.

[10] Gustafson K., *An extended operator trigonometry*, Linear Algebra Appl. **319** (2000), 117-135.

[11] Gustafson K., *Operator Trigonometry*, Linear and Multilinear Algebra, **37** (1994), 139-159.

[12] Gustafson K., *Matrix Trigonometry*, Linear Algebra Appl., **217** (1995), 117-140.

[13] Gustafson, K., *Interaction antieigenvalues*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **299** (2004), 174-185.

[14] Gustafson K. and Rao D., *Numerical Range and Accretivity of Operator Products*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **60** (1977), 693-702.

[15] Gustafson K. and Rao D., *Numerical Range: The Field Values of Linear Operators and Matrices*, Springer, New York, 1997.

[16] Gustafson K. and Seddighin M., *Antieigenvalue Bounds*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **143** (1989), 327-340.

[17] Hossein Sk.M., Das K.C., Debnath L. and Paul K., *Bounds for total antieigenvalue of a normal operator* International J. Math. and Math. Sci., **70** (2004), 3877-3884.

[18] Hossein Sk.M., Paul K., Debnath L. and Das K.C., *Symmetric Anti-eigenvalue and Symmetric Anti-eigenvector* J. Math. Analysis and Applications., **345** (2008), 771-776.

[19] Paul K., Hossein Sk.M. and Das K.C., *Orthogonality on B(H,H) and Minimal-norm Operator*, Journal of Analysis and Applications, **6** (2008), 169-178.

[20] Seddighin M., *Antieigenvalues and total antieigenvalues of normal operators*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **274** (2002) no.1, 239-254.

[21] Stampfli J.G., *The Norm of A Derivation*, Pacific Journal of Mathematics, **33(3)** (1970), 737-747.

Kallol Paul
Department of Mathematics
Jadavpur University, Kolkata 700032, West Bengal, INDIA
email: *kalloldada@yahoo.co.in*

Gopal Das
Department of Mathematics, Jadavpur University, Kolkata 700032, INDIA
email: *gopaldasju@gmail.com*